

Chapter 1

Mathematical Reasoning

1.1 Mathematical Logic

1.1.1 Statements

A statement is a sentence which is either true or false, but not both simultaneously.

Example

- a) $2 + 2 = 4$ is a true statement.
- b) $3 \times 2 = 7$ is a false statement.
- c) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $x^2 \geq 0$ is a true statement.
- e) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $|x| = 1$ is a false assertion.

1.1.2 Logical Operations

If P is an assertion and Q is another assertion, we will define new assertions constructed from P and Q

a) The logical operator "and" (\wedge) (Conjunction)

Consider two statements P and Q.

The statement P and Q is true provided P is true and Q is true. Otherwise, P and Q is false. We summarize this in a truth table:

A	B	$A \wedge B$
True	True	True
True	False	False
False	True	False
False	False	False

Exemple

- a) $(3 + 5 = 8) \wedge (3 \times 6 = 18)$ est une assertion vraie. ST
- b) $(2 + 2 = 4) \wedge (2 \times 3 = 7)$ est une assertion fausse. SF

b) The logical operator "or" (\vee) (Disjunction)

The statement P or Q is true provided P is true, Q is true, or both are true. Otherwise, P or Q is false. We summarize this in a truth table:

Logical Disjunction

p	q	$p \vee q$
F	F	F
F	T	T
T	F	T
T	T	T

Exemple

a) $(2 + 2 = 4) \vee (3 \times 2 = 6)$ est une assertion vraie. **ST**

b) $(2 = 4) \vee (4 \times 3 = 7)$ est une assertion fausse. **SF**

c) Logical negation “not” “ $\sim p$ ”

Logical negation is an operation on one logical value, typically the value of a proposition, that produces a value of *true* when its operand is false and a value of *false* when its operand is true. The [truth table](#) of “**not P**” also written “ $\sim p$ ” appears below:

p	$\neg p$
F	T
T	F

Exemple The negation of the assertion $3 \geq 0$ is the assertion $3 < 0$.

d) Implication (\Rightarrow) ” If, then”

The implication or conditional is the statement “If P then Q” and is denoted by $P \rightarrow Q$. The statement $P \rightarrow Q$ is often read as “P implies Q,” that $P \rightarrow Q$ is false only when P is true and Q is false

p	q	Cond(p, q)
F	F	T
F	T	T
T	F	F
T	T	T

All of the following have the same meaning:

If P , then Q .

P implies Q .

$P \Rightarrow Q$ (read P implies Q)

Q , if P .

P only if Q .

Q when (or whenever) P .

Q is necessary for P .

P is sufficient for Q .

e) **Equivalent (\Leftrightarrow) "if and only if"**

The statement P if and only if Q , written $P \Leftrightarrow Q$, is equivalent to the statement $(P \Rightarrow Q)$ and $(Q \Rightarrow P)$.

$P \Leftrightarrow Q$ is true provided P and Q have the same truth value. If P and Q do not have the same truth value, then $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ is false.

P	Q	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

1.1.3 Quantifiers

- For an open sentence $P(x)$, we have the propositions $(\exists x)P(x)$ which is true when there exists at least one x for which $P(x)$ is true.

The symbol \exists is called the existential quantifier.

$\exists x \in E$ **belong to** $(E, P(x))$ est une assertion vraie lorsque l'on peut trouver au moins un élément x de E pour lequel $P(x)$ est vraie. On lit il existe x appartenant à E tel que $P(x)$ (soit vraie).

Remark . The existential statement $(\exists x)P(x)$

may be read as:

- “There exists x such that $P(x)$.”
 - “There exists x for which $P(x)$.”
 - “For some x , $P(x)$.”
-
- **$(\forall x)P(x)$ which is true when $P(x)$ is true for every x . The symbol \forall is called the universal quantifier.**

$\forall x \in E$ **belong to** $(E, P(x))$ est une assertion vraie lorsque les assertions $P(x)$ sont vraies pour tous les éléments x de l'ensemble E . On lit : pour tout x appartenant à E , $P(x)$ est vraie.

Remark . the universal statement $(\forall x)P(x)$ may be read as:

- “For all x , $P(x)$.”
- “For every x , $P(x)$.”
- “For each x , $P(x)$.”

The symbol \forall was chosen as an inverted A for “all.”

- **Negations of quantified statements**

- The negation of for all n , $P(n)$ is:

there exists n such that $\text{not}(P(n))$.

$$(\forall x \in E, P(x)) \text{ est } (\exists x \in E, \overline{P(x)}).$$

Exemple : the negation of $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R} : \underbrace{x^2 \geq 0}_{P(x)})$ is $\exists x \in \mathbb{R} : \underbrace{x^2 < 0}_{\overline{P(x)}}$.

- The negation of there exists n such that $P(n)$ is:

for all n , $\text{not}(P(n))$.

$$(\exists x \in E, P(x)) \text{ est } (\forall x \in E, \overline{P(x)}).$$

If n is divisible by 4, then n is even,

Its negation is therefore:

There is an integer n such that n is divisible by 4 and n is not even.

Exemple : the negation of $(\exists x \in \mathbb{R} : \underbrace{x < 0}_{P(x)})$ is $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} : \underbrace{x \geq 0}_{\overline{P(x)}}$

1.1.4 Summary of Negations

The negations of common logical expressions are summarized in the following table :

statement	negation
$P \text{ and } Q$	$(\text{not } P) \text{ or } (\text{not } Q)$
$P \text{ or } Q$	$(\text{not } P) \text{ and } (\text{not } Q)$
$P \Rightarrow Q$	$P \text{ and } (\text{not } Q)$
$P \Leftrightarrow Q$	$(P \text{ and } \text{not } Q) \text{ or } (Q \text{ and } \text{not } P)$
$\forall n, P(n)$	$\exists n \text{ such that } \text{not}(P(n))$
$\exists n \text{ such that } P(n)$	$\forall n, \text{not}(P(n))$

1.2 Proof Methods

1.2.1 Direct Proofs

We want to show that the assertion $P \Rightarrow Q$ is true. We assume that P is true and we then show that Q is true.

Example: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that $a = b \Rightarrow \frac{a+b}{2} = b$.

Let's take $a = b$, then $\frac{a}{2} = \frac{b}{2}$, so $\frac{a}{2} + \frac{b}{2} = \frac{b}{2} + \frac{b}{2}$ as well as $\frac{a+b}{2} = b$.

1.2.2 Proof by contrapositive

Proof by contrapositive is based on the following equivalence :

$$(P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow (\sim Q \Rightarrow \sim P)$$

Recall that the statement $P \Rightarrow Q$ has the same truth value as its contrapositive $(\text{not } Q) \Rightarrow (\text{not } P)$.

Therefore, if you wish to prove that $P \Rightarrow Q$ is true, you may prove instead that its contrapositive is true.

This is called proof by contrapositive: you suppose not Q as your hypothesis and show that, under that assumption, not P is true

Example :

Let $x \in (\text{belonged}) \mathbb{R}$. Show that

$$\underbrace{(x \neq 2 \text{ et } x \neq -2)}_P \Rightarrow \underbrace{(x^2 \neq 4)}_Q.$$

By contraposition this is equivalent :

$$\underbrace{(x^2 = 4)}_{\bar{Q}} \Rightarrow \underbrace{(x = 2 \text{ ou } x = -2)}_{\bar{P}}.$$

indeed, let's take $x^2 = 4$, then $(x - 2)(x + 2) = 0$, therefore $x = 2$ or $x = -2$.

1.2.3 Proof by Contradiction([absurdity](#))

to show $P \Rightarrow Q$, is based on the following principle:

We assume both that P is true and that Q is false and we look for a contradiction. So if P is true then Q must be true and therefore $P \Rightarrow Q$ is true.

Exemple :

Let $a, b > 0$. Show that if $\frac{a}{1+b} = \frac{b}{1+a} \Rightarrow a = b$.

We reason absurdly by supposing that

$$\frac{a}{1+b} = \frac{b}{1+a} \quad \text{et} \quad a \neq b.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{a}{1+b} = \frac{b}{1+a} \right) &\Leftrightarrow a(a+1) = b(b+1) \\ &\Leftrightarrow a^2 - b^2 = -(a-b) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (a-b)(a+b) = -(a-b) \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent

$$(a-b)(a+b) = -(a-b) \quad \text{et} \quad a-b \neq 0.$$

so by dividing by $(a-b)$ we obtain

$$a+b = -1.$$

The sum of two positive numbers cannot be negative. We get a contradiction.

1.2.4 Proof by Induction (recurrence)

The principle of Proof by Induction allows us to show that an assertion $P(n)$, depending on n , is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof by induction takes place in two steps:

i) We prove $P(0)$ is true.

On prouve $P(0)$ est vraie.

ii) We assume $n \geq 0$ given with $P(n)$ true, and we then demonstrate that the assertion $P(n + 1)$ is true.

Finally in the conclusion, we recall that by the principle of recurrence $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Exemple :

Prove $2^n > n + 4$ for $n \geq 3, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Solution

Let $n = 3$. Then $2^3 > 3 + 4$ is true since clearly $8 > 7$. Thus the statement is true for $n = 3$.

Assume that $2^n > n + 4$ is true for some $n = k$.

We will show that $2^{k+1} > (k + 1) + 4$.

Consider $2^{k+1} = 2 \cdot 2^k > 2 \cdot (k + 4) = 2k + 8$.

Since $2k > k + 1$ and $8 > 4$, we have $2k + 8 > (k + 1) + 4$.

Thus the statement is true for all $n = k$.

By induction, $2^n > n + 4$ for all $n \geq 3, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Exemple 2 Show that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$: $2^n > n$.

Let us note $P(n)$: $2^n > n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We will demonstrate by induction that $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

i) For $n = 0$ we have $2^0 = 1 > 0$, so $P(0)$ is true.

ii) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, suppose $P(n)$ is true. We will show that $P(n + 1)$ is true.

$$2^{n+1} = 2^n + 2^n$$

$> n + 2^n$, because by $P(n)$ we know that $2^n > n$,

$\geq n + 1$, because $2^n \geq 1$

So $P(n + 1)$ is true.